

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/788,490	BIBR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BEN WANG	2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Simon B. Anolick (Reg. No. 37,585). (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) BEN WANG. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☒ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 35, 36, and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

A telephone interview with Mr. Simon B. Anolick (Reg. No. 37,585) on September 19, 2011 to further amend claims 1, 35, 36, and 38 respectively (see Examiner's Amendment below) and thus to obviate any potential 35 U.S.C 101 and/or 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph issues and to place the claims in the condition for allowance. A proposed claims amendment has received and adopted by the examiner.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☒ Attachment

/Ben C Wang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2192